

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/692,862 10/27/2003 181 7590 06/10/2005		0/27/2003	Claude Petit	T2147-907881US02	9204	
				EXAM	EXAMINER		
	MILES & ST	госкві	RIDGE PC	TRINH, MINH N			
	1751 PINNAC	CLE DRI	VE				
	SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833				3729			

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	P	'	
٩	۲.	_	_

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	10/692,862	PETIT ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Minh Trinh	3729							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status		•							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ag	<u>oril 2005</u> .								
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14,19 and 29 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 25-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	· ·								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • •							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 10/692,862 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' amendment filed on 4/14/05 has been considered and made of record.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed subject matter such as: "inserting a chock on a first side of the card" and "placing a package tool on a horizontal support" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. It is noted that the above features do not seem to show in the amended drawings, at best Fig. 7 shows the chock and Fig. 5 shows the tool but not both therefore, the drawing as amended has not overcome the drawing objections since none of the drawings that contains the chock 70 and the tool 35 being operatively associated with one another as so to facilitate the process of mounting that are required by the instant application. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.
- 4. Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

Art Unit: 3729

invention. In this case the specification does not disclose a chock that being operatively associated with the method in a way as to enable one skilled in the art to use the invention, for example, the specification and the drawings do not seem to describe or show in details of how the inserting a standoff through each through hole and placing a spring around each standoff (see claim 25, lines 8-9), and the removing step of lines 18-20.

5. Claims 25-28 as amended are still being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase: "inserting a standoff through each through hole..." is confusing because it is not known if a standoff is being inserted in each through hole of the printed circuit card or each through hole of the chock. Please be more specific.

Also, it appears that "placing a spring around each standoff " should have been done prior to the "insertion of a standoff through each through hole" (see claim 25, line 8-9).

What is being referring as "a horizontal support " (claim 25, line 13-14).

"the first mounted electrical component assembly is placed " (claim 25, line 15) lacks proper antecedent basis. Also, the phrase: "is placed" is not positive method step.

It is not known how the first electrical component assembly can be placed inside of the packing tool? and how the springs come into contact when the component being isolated by being placed inside of tool?

Art Unit: 3729

Further, Applicants should carefully revise the claims languages to positively recite the manufacturing method steps.

6. Regarding claims 25-28. It is noted that <u>no art rejections have been</u> applied to claims 25-28, since there are still a great deal of <u>confusion an uncertainty</u> as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of the above claims. Therefore, it would not be proper to reject such claims on the basis of prior art. See MPEP 2173.06.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. The amendment to the claims languages has not overcome the 112 first and second paragraphs rejections (see details in paragraphs 3-4 above). Further, Applicant is advised to review the claim language and the drawings in order to clarify the claimed subject matter. It is suggested that applicant may submit large, clear sketches, which show the claimed subject matter such as how a chock, a spring and a standoff being connected for assembling of the IC device, also how the chock being operatively associated with the tool for forming the IC device on both sides of the printed card in order to convey or enable one having ordinary skill in the art to make the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,862 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

9. This application contains claims 19-14 and 29 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Interviews After Final

10. Applicant notes that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

11. It is noted that any amendment made to the disclosure and the claims. Applicant requires to point out the support provide numeral references to the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure (i.e., page and line numbers and reference number associated with from the drawings) for better clarity (See 37CFR 1.111 and section 2163.06 of the MPEP).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/692,862

Art Unit: 3729

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 6

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/692,862

Art Unit: 3729

mt

6/7/05

Minh Trinh

Primary Examiner